

Primary studies

Study ID	Method	Patient characteristics	Outcome	Results primary outcome	Results secondary and other outcome(s)	Critical appraisal of study quality
Karapanou (2012)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Case control and cross-sectional (before and after) study</li> <li>Funding/COI: approved by ethical committee of Evangelismos Hospital. Authors declare that they have no COI</li> <li>Setting: single university centre, Greece</li> <li>Sample size: 18 men + 42 women</li> <li>Duration: Dec 2009-Apr 2011</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Eligibility criteria:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Cases:                   <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Papillary thyroid cancer on histological examination</li> <li>b) Total or near total thyroidectomy at least 2- 6 months before</li> <li>c) Absence of concomitant malignancy</li> <li>d) No prior 131I administration</li> <li>e) Absence of severe comorbidities</li> </ul> </li> <li>Age: 18 - 73</li> <li>Controls: age and sex-matched subjects. Further comparability unclear.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<p>Differences in HRQoL assessment associated with demographic (age, gender) and disease-dependent (TNM stage, 131I dosage, serum Tg levels) variables</p> <p>Differences in HRQoL assessment of thyroid cancerpatients before and after 131I administration</p> <p>Instrument: SF-36 health survey validated for Greek population</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No statistically significant difference in HRQoL associated with age, gender, serum Tg levels or 131I dosage.</li> <li>No significant difference between patients receiving lower (2220-3700MBq) and higher (3700-7400MBq) dosage.</li> <li>HRQoL significantly improved in all domains six months post 131I</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Compared to a general population sample, HRQoL scores before 131I administration were significantly lower in all domains.</li> <li>Six months post 131I administration patients' HRQoL scores were significantly lower in the domains: physical functioning (P=0.002), physical role (P=0.001), social functioning (P=0.003) and emotional role limitations (P=0.004)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Level of evidence: B</li> </ul>
Singer (2012)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Single center cross-sectional study</li> <li>Funding/COI: Authors declare that they have no COI + no grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sector</li> <li>Setting: single centre, inpatient rehabilitation clinic, Germany</li> <li>Sample size: 121(81.7%, nonparticipation)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Eligibility criteria: (1) Group 1: patients with thyroid cancer; (2) Group 2: random sample from general population</li> <li>Patient characteristics: (1) Group 1: females 81%, &lt;50 years 59%, papillary 71%, follicular 20%; (2) Group 2: females 56%</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Instrument: EORTC QLQ-C30</li> </ul>	<p>Patient group:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Emotional functioning (mean value):               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>men 60.5 vs. women 46.7, p=0.03</li> <li>papillary 47.2 vs. follicular 51.0 vs. medullary 76.4 vs. 83.3</li> </ul> </li> <li>Physical functioning (mean value):               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>T4 60.0 vs. T1-3 75.0, p=0.02</li> </ul> </li> <li>Global health status (mean value):               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>T4 39.4 vs. T1-3 54, p=0.03</li> </ul> </li> <li>Cognitive functioning:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>M+ 41.7 vs. M0 65.9, p=0.05</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<p>Representative community sample</p> <p>The age distribution was similar in both groups</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Women reported significantly worse functioning and more symptoms than men in all domains except diarrhea and financial difficulties (all p &lt; 0.05)</li> <li>With increasing age, quality of life decreases linearly</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Level of evidence: B</li> </ul>

	<p>mainly because of languageproblems and age &gt; 80 years)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Duration: 2006-2010</li> </ul>			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No other differences between subgroups</li> <li>• Mean scores in all other domains appeared to be similar between the different histology</li> </ul>	<p>Univariate analysis: Symptoms in all domains: Patients &gt; general population → p &lt; 0.0. Large differences in (points) in the domains: fatigue (39), role functioning (33), insomnia (33), emotional functioning (29), and financial difficulties (28).</p> <p>Multivariate analysis: Symptoms in all but the domains constipation and diarrhea: Patients &gt; general population Strongest effects in: insomnia (p &lt; 0.001), fatigue (p= &lt;0.001), role functioning (p= &lt;0.001). Significant interactions between age and group in: social functioning, role functioning, fatigue, nausea/vomiting, financial difficulties. Interactions between gender and group in nausea and vomiting.</p>	
--	--	--	--	---	---	--

**Systematic reviews**

I Study ID	II Method	III Patient characteristics	IV Intervention(s)	V Results primary outcome	VI Results secondary and other outcomes	VII Critical appraisal of review quality
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Reference</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Design</li> <li>• Sources of funding</li> <li>• Search date</li> <li>• Searched databases</li> <li>• Included study designs</li> <li>• Number of included studies</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Eligibility criteria</li> <li>• A priori patient characteristics</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Intervention(s)</li> <li>• Comparator(s)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Effect size primary outcome(s)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Effect size</li> <li>• secondary outcome(s)</li> <li>• Effect size</li> <li>• all other outcomes</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Level of evidence</li> <li>• Results critical appraisal</li> </ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Husson et al, 2011</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Systematic Review</li> <li>• Sources of funding unknown</li> <li>• Search date: 7 febr. 2011.</li> <li>• Year of publication: 1997-2010</li> <li>• Pubmed</li> <li>• 27 studies included: prospective, cross-sectional, observational, intervention studies.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Thyroid cancer survivors</li> <li>• Exclusion if: terminally ill (life expectancy &lt; 6 months), younger than 18 years of age, other thyroid diseases, other cancers, genetic predisposition, no health related quality of life outcome</li> <li>• HRQoL primary outcome in all studies.</li> </ul> <p>-8 on the impact of a specific treatment on HRQoL  -11 studies on the impact of follow-up procedures on HRQoL (3 of these also focused on some aspects)  -11 studies evaluated HRQoL among (long-term) cancer survivors. The main findings are summarized in Table 2.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Surgery, radioiodine remnant ablation therapy, thyroid hormone therapy</li> <li>• Healthy population</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• mean score: 8,8 (range 2-12), on 0-12 scale</li> <li>• Contradictory results for comparisons with healthy population</li> <li>• surgery leads to worse mental and physical HRQoL compared with the general population; there is a trend towards recovery in time.</li> <li>• levothyroxine treatment results in similar or slightly impaired HRQoL compared with the general population.</li> <li>• (long-term) thyroid cancer survivors score similar or worse on HRQoL scales compared with the general population.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• RA affects some, mainly physical, domains of HRQoL; rhTSH preserves HRQoL better than withdrawing levothyroxine treatment</li> <li>• thyroid hormone withdrawal causes significant reductions in physical and mental HRQoL. After resumption of the levothyroxine treatment, HRQoL levels will return to prewithdrawal levels.</li> <li>• the use of rhTSH instead of thyroid hormone withdrawal prevents HRQoL deterioration accompanied by the withdrawal.</li> <li>• Thyroid cancer survivors report some specific long-lasting problems.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• C</li> </ul>

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Yoo et al, 2009</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Systematic Review</li> <li>• Sources of funding unknown</li> <li>• Search date: week 5 and 6, 2008.</li> <li>• Year of publication: 1996-2008</li> <li>• Pubmed and Embase</li> <li>• 2 cohort, 1 retrospective, 1 RCT</li> <li>• 4 studies included</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Patients with papillary or follicular thyroid cancer</li> <li>• Total or near-total thyroidectomy</li> <li>• Exclusion if known metastatic disease</li> <li>• Baseline qol taken post cancer diagnosis or post surgery and before initiating a protocol for tsh elevation.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• RA preparation using rhTSH</li> <li>• Standard withdrawal of thyroid hormone therapy</li> <li>• No comparison with healthy population</li> <li>• Instruments: Billewicz scale + SF-36.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Serum TSH levels, results of post-therapy scans, iodine biokinetics in remnants, serum Tg, urinary iodine excretion</li> <li>• The use of rhTSH for RA preparation is not different from thyroid hormone withdrawal</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• qol worse in hypo group compared with baseline values or rhtsh group.</li> <li>• Pacini: hypogroup worse on 6 of the 14 signs and symptoms of hypothyroidism (p &lt; 0.0001): cold intolerance (50% vs. 21%), weight gain (60% vs. 21%), constipation (43% vs. 3%), slow movements (50% vs. 12%), cold skin (47% vs. 12%), and peri-orbital puffiness (50% vs. 0%).</li> <li>• Schroeder et al.: all signs and symptoms ignificantly worse in hypo group (p &lt; 0.001) + SF-36 8 health-related domains worse in hypo group (p &lt; 0.001)</li> <li>• Ladenson (1997): all signs and symptoms significantly worse in hypo group (p &lt; 0.001) + Profile of Mood States (poms) on all 6 states worse in hypo group (p &lt; 0.001)</li> <li>• Ladenson (2002) 5 of 6 states on the poms and physical composite of the SF-36 significantly worse in hypo group</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• B</li> </ul>
---	--	--	--	---	---	---